

Message

From: MacNicholl, Peter@DTSC [Peter.MacNicholl@dtsc.ca.gov]
Sent: 6/23/2017 5:52:23 PM
To: Keller, Lynn [Keller.Lynn@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: California Vapor Intrusion Guidance

Sounds good. Thanks for the update.

From: Keller, Lynn [mailto:Keller.Lynn@epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, June 23, 2017 10:51 AM
To: MacNicholl, Peter@DTSC
Subject: RE: California Vapor Intrusion Guidance

Thanks, Pete. I'm part of the internal R9 review team for this document; we're discussing it at a meeting next week. I haven't gotten into it yet but want to review it for Area 40 and the partial deletion areas at Aerojet especially.

Lynn M. Keller, EI, PMP
US EPA Region 9 RPM
75 Hawthorne St, SFD 7-1
San Francisco, CA 94105
415.947.4162

From: MacNicholl, Peter@DTSC [mailto:Peter.MacNicholl@dtsc.ca.gov]
Sent: Friday, June 23, 2017 10:43 AM
To: Fennessy, Christopher (christopher.fennessy@Rocket.com) (christopher.fennessy@Rocket.com)
<christopher.fennessy@Rocket.com>
Cc: Keller, Lynn <Keller.Lynn@epa.gov>; MacDonald, Alex@Waterboards <Alex.MacDonald@waterboards.ca.gov>;
Rohrer, Jim@DTSC <Jim.Rohrer@dtsc.ca.gov>
Subject: FW: California Vapor Intrusion Guidance

Hi All,

Attached is DTSC draft vapor intrusion guidance that is still under agency peer review. This draft guidance is not expected to change significantly but it sets the level of expectations that will be required for Area 40 and other related AR OUs.

Sincerely,



Peter MacNicholl, P.E.
Department of Toxic Substances Control
Project Manager
Cleanup Program – Sacramento Office
8800 Cal Center Drive
Sacramento, California 95826
Ph: 916-255-3713
Peter.MacNicholl@dtsc.ca.gov

From: Gallagher, Dan@DTSC
Sent: Thursday, June 22, 2017 3:40 PM
To: MacNicholl, Peter@DTSC
Subject: California Vapor Intrusion Guidance

Peter,

As discussed in yesterday's meeting with Aerojet, the approach for assessing vapor intrusion in California is changing. California is adopting many aspects from USEPA's 2015 vapor intrusion guidance. Some items in the new approach include:

- Evaluation of sewers as a potential migration route and pathway of exposure.
- Soil gas, subslab, indoor air, and outdoor air sampling recommendations.
- Attenuation factors for the screening and evaluation of buildings.
- Passive samplers as an acceptable quantitative sampling technology for indoor air.
- Risk decision framework for mitigating and remediating sites.
- The J/E model should no longer be used for vapor intrusion evaluations.
- California-specific vapor intrusion database.

The draft guidance document is attached. Although it's not yet formal State guidance, it can provide a framework for communicating expectations to responsible parties such as Aerojet. But note that this guidance is draft and potentially subject to change. Please share this document with interested stakeholders as appropriate but with this understanding.

Dan Gallagher, CHG
Senior Engineering Geologist
Department of Toxic Substances Control
8800 Cal Center Drive
Sacramento, CA 95826-3200
(o) 916-255-6536
dan.gallagher@dtsc.ca.gov